



REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES

NATIONAL ECONOMIC AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

BID BULLETIN No. 6

CONSULTING SERVICES FOR THE CONDUCT OF FEASIBILITY STUDIES (FS) OF THE VARIOUS PROJECTS UNDER THE FEASIBILITY STUDIES FUND

TO : ALL SHORTLISTED CONSULTANTS

Hereunder are the additional clarifications/amendments to the bidding documents and Terms of Reference for the above procurement:

Particulars	Clarification/Amendment
a. Criteria for the Evaluation of Technical Proposals	<p>The weights of the criteria are hereby modified as follows:</p> <p>A. Experience and capability of the Firm – 10 points</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">i. Previous engagement in similar contracts (6 pts)ii. Previous engagement in relevant contracts (2 pts)iii. Overall work commitments or job capacity (2 pts) <p>B. Plan of approach and methodology – 30 points</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">i. Substance of the proposal (15 pts)ii. Completeness of the proposal (6 pts)iii. Clarity of methods and approaches (9 pts) <p>C. Quality of personnel to be assigned to the Project – 60 points</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">i. Project Manager or Team Leader (20 pts)<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Education (15%)b. Training (15%)c. Related Experience (70%)ii. Other Key Staff (40 pts)<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Education (15%)b. Training (15%)c. Related Experience (70%) <p>As regards firm experience, the cumulative experience of firms in a joint venture will be considered. On the other hand, member firms of a JV will be rated individually in terms of job capacity.</p>
b. Deviations from the Terms of Reference (TOR)	<p>Deviations from the TOR in terms of scope and schedule of activities and number of personnel may be allowed as long as justified, and will not consequently lead to financial proposals exceeding the Approved Budget for the Contract (ABC) and will not deviate by more than twenty percent (i.e., $\pm 20\%$) from the allocated budgets for remuneration and reimbursable expenses</p>

	indicated in the TOR. Any inconsistent provision in the bidding documents, TOR or supplemental bid bulletins earlier issued is hereby amended accordingly.
c. Page limitation for the Technical Proposal Forms	There are no page limitations for any of the contents of the Technical Proposal Forms. A font size of twelve (12) for the text is advised.
d. Technical Proposal Form 2. Consultant's References	This should contain relevant and similar services or projects carried out in the last ten (10) years that best illustrate qualifications. Any inconsistent provision in the bidding documents and TOR is hereby amended accordingly.
<i>Feasibility Studies for Non-Revenue Water Reduction and Control Projects and Concept Designs for Sanitation Projects</i>	
e. Definition of a Concept Design vis-à-vis the required outputs of plans and specification	<p>The basic difference between a concept design and a full feasibility study is that in the former secondary data and information or those not generated by the consultant/study team may be used while the latter requires the generation or production of primary data. In the case of the sanitation subprojects, which are described as concept design, the consultant may produce a "generic" design to a particular water district which is phased into septage (immediate) and sewerage system (long-term).</p> <p>Relative to the term "generic", the consultant should prepare design specifications and other outputs which is applicable to each water district and not approach it as a "one-size-fits-all".</p>
f. Environmental Analysis	<p>In case the water district or local government unit has no specific site for the sanitation subproject, the consultant may prepare generic design specifications and generic EA report.</p> <p>The consultant is required to produce sanitation programs which should be phased into immediate and long-term. The immediate phase may be a septage management program.</p>
g. Water Treatment Specialist	The position is for a wastewater treatment specialist.
h. Cap of budget for each sub-project/total project cost.	The placement of budget cap for each subproject is not advisable at the moment but will depend on the consultant's manpower exposure and work plan/approach.
i. Water District end-users	The Sanitation Program Concept Design for Bacolod City (Negros Occidental) Water District is changed to a Non-Revenue Water Study. Dipolog City (Zamboanga del Norte) Water District is replaced by Gingoog City (Misamis Oriental) Water District as among the end-users of the Sanitation Concept Design Study.

<i>Feasibility Study for the Establishment of a Commercial Sweet Sorghum Plantation and a Sweet Sorghum Syrup Processing Plant in the Philippines</i>	
j. Opening of Technical Proposals	The date of opening of technical proposals is changed from 10 November 2014, 3:30 PM to <u>03 November 2014, 1:30 PM.</u>
<i>Feasibility Study for the Appropriate Waste-to-Energy (WTE) Technology covering 178 Local Government Units (LGUs) in the Manila Bay Region</i>	
k. Annex E of the Terms of Reference (TOR)	The revised Annex E of the TOR is attached herewith. The budget for reimbursable expenses to be included in the financial proposal shall not exceed the amount for reimbursable expenses plus contingency cost indicated in the said revised Annex E of the TOR in the total amount of PhP36,004,980.00 , subject to item (b) of this Bid Bulletin.

Issued on 16 October 2014, Pasig City.


RUBEN S. REINOSO, JR.
Assistant Director-General and
Chairperson, NBAC

ANNEX E

Breakdown of the Approved Budget for the Contract (ABC)

Remuneration Expenses	PhP17,940,000.00
(1) Project Manager	
(1) Senior SWM Specialist	
(1) Senior WTE Specialist	
(4) SWM/WTE Specialist	
(1) Financial Specialist	
(1) Economic Specialist	
(1) IT Specialist	
Other Specialists	
Reimbursable Expenses	PhP33,807,600.00
Workshops for the WACS	
Transportation Costs	
Project Office Space	
Supplies, Materials, Equipment, Drawings, etc.	
Field Allowance (including housing, per diem of specialists/other staffs/Government Counterparts during fieldworks, etc.)	
Conduct of WACS	
DENR/NEDA Representative Expenses (i.e., meals, travel expense, lodging)	
Communication Expenses	
Total Expenses	PhP51,747,600.00
Contingency	PhP2,197,380.00
GRAND TOTAL	PhP53,944,980.00