



REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES

NATIONAL ECONOMIC AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

NEDA BIDS AND AWARDS COMMITTEE FOR GOODS AND INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS (NBAC-GIP)

BID BULLETIN No. 04

PURCHASE OF TONERS/CONSUMABLES FOR THE EXISTING PRINTERS FOR THE NATIONAL ECONOMIC AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (NEDA)

TO : ALL PROSPECTIVE BIDDERS:

1. Hereunder are the clarifications made by one of the prospective bidders and modifications for the above-cited procurement:

- a. **When the provision (Section 18 of IRR) allows the participation of branded items in some exceptional cases in a competitive bidding, do you automatically disallow the participation of compatible brands or products when you indicated the brand Brother, Kyocera and Epson in your Technical Specifications?**

All interested bidders are allowed to participate in this competitive bidding. Notwithstanding, it is expected that all prospective bidders will adhere to the eligibility, technical, financial requirements stated in the Bidding Documents and Terms of Reference. Under the subject procurement, the NEDA intends to procure toner/consumables from authorized reseller or professional partner of the existing printer of NEDA.

- b. **In my case, I am a Philippine distributor of original/ genuine branded compatible toners which are now being used by different government institutions for years and certificate of good performance were issued by these various government agencies as proof that our compatible toners work well with their printers and copiers, are we eligible to participate in this public bidding for toners/consumables?**

Certificate of Acceptance/Satisfactory Service is only being used as proof of service to the concerned agency and as one of the attachment to the statement of Single Largest Completed Contract (SLCC) pursuant to Section 12.1.a.ii of Instruction to Bidders (ITB) of the Bidding Documents. It is also reiterated that the bidder with the lowest/single calculated bid must be fully compliant with all bidding requirements.

- c. **Would it still fall within the definition of a competitive bidding when the opportunity is limited to a certain group such as the authorized distributors or partners of a specific brands like Brother, Kyocera and Epson with the exclusion of other genuine compatible brands which can also meet the functionality and performance required by the procuring entity?**


Yes, the above procurement is within the ambit of competitive bidding given that there are distributors and resellers available in the market. Further noting that the 2016 Revised IRR of RA 9184 allows Procuring Entity to procure genuine parts/items of the existing fleet/equipment, to wit:

“Xxxxx. Reference to brand names shall not be allowed except for items or parts that are compatible with the existing fleet or equipment of the same make and brand, and to maintain the performance, functionality and useful life of the equipment.”

2. The deadline for submission and opening of bids are revised as follows:

PARTICULARS	REVISIONS
Deadline for submission of bids	The deadline for submission of bids has been moved: FROM: July 15, 2019, 10:00 AM TO: July 22, 2019, 10:00 AM
Opening of bids	The opening of bids has been moved: FROM: July 15, 2019, 10:30 AM TO: July 22, 2019, 10:15 AM

Issued on July 11, 2019.


CARLOS BERNARDO O. ABAD SANTOS
Assistant Secretary and
Chairperson, NEDA-GIP